

Floral Diversity and Their Importance at Bacolod City National High School, Negros Occidental, Philippines

Rose Marie U. Getida¹, Dwynn Leo Barranco¹, Jonna Mae D. Chua¹, Jewel E. Jamera¹, Athena Keziah M. De Leon¹, Phillip Raymund R. De Oca¹, & Christian Casio²

¹Bacolod City National High School

²Philippines Biodiversity Conservation Foundation Incorporated
Negros Occidental, Philippines

ABSTRACT

*Records on plant diversity are crucial for the Urban Biodiversity Index. Likewise, schools are also required to assess and monitor their plants and trees. Since no data for plant diversity is available and school monitoring is required, this study aimed to assess and index the plant biodiversity and importance in Bacolod City National High School. Seven ten-meter-long transect lines across densely vegetated areas were established within the school. Relative density, relative dominance, and relative frequency values were solved to obtain Species Importance Value. The study documented 51 vascular plant species belonging to 29 plant families. A total of 41.7% were classified as native species, whereas *Pterocarpus indicus* and *Adonidia merrillii* were classified as Vulnerable under the DENR DAO 2017-11. *Swietenia macrophylla* had the highest SIV. For the ground cover diversity, 26 species belonging to eighteen (18) plant families were recorded of which *Rivina humilis* obtained the highest overall percent cover (18.4%). For the vegetation structure, *S. macrophylla* recorded the highest Species Importance Value (SIV) with 58.40, indicating that it is the most dominant species on campus, significantly influencing the vegetation and wildlife. For the species diversity index, BCNHS obtained a 2.74 index value indicating that the area is moderately diverse, while its evenness index is 0.78. Based on the results, BCNHS has a moderately diverse vegetation. Hence, the implementation of interventions such as the re-introduction of other native species and the establishment of native species nurseries is encouraged.*

Keywords:

Urban Biodiversity Index, Plant Diversity, Relative Density, Relative Frequency, Relative Dominance

Introduction

Accounting for two-thirds of the world's biodiversity, the Philippines is one of the world's 18 mega-biodiverse countries ranking sixth in terms of plant species and is home to 5% of the world's flora (Sarmiento, 2019). The country's rich plant diversity is an important to its terrestrial ecosystems. It is pivotal to its stability & contributes to ensuring an abundance of wildlife, habitat, and ecosystem services

(Llait, 2024). However, the Philippines is becoming a highly urbanized nation, with an urban growth rate higher than the national growth rate (Philippine Statistics Authority, 2022).

Rapid population growth associated with urbanization exerts significant pressure on ecosystem growth and services (Xiao et al., 2020). Bacolod City, according to the Philippine Statistics Authority is one of the most highly urbanized cities in the country

(Philippine Statistics Authority, 2023). The remarkable surge in the city's urban population contributes to the persistent decline of natural resources, habitats, and food sources, making urbanization a constant threat to sustaining plant diversity (De Barros Ruas et al., 2022; English et al., 2022; Gopalan and Radhakrishna, 2022; Wang et al., 2020).

The fragmentation of immense portions of natural habitat caused by the construction of roads, housing, and industry is one of the most serious threats to biodiversity (Zucaro et al., 2022). Likewise, the removal of native species from urban areas and their replacement with non-native species significantly alters the composition of urban biological communities (Faeth et al., 2011); as a result, the ecology of cities differs greatly from that of surrounding undeveloped areas (English et al., 2022). To mitigate the threat, the Convention on Biological Diversity created the City Biodiversity Index to keep track of biodiversity conservation and reduce its loss in highly urbanized areas (Chan et al., 2014; Kohsaka et al., 2013).

The City Biodiversity Index has already been implemented in a few countries like Japan, Singapore, Portugal, Finland, India, and Canada (Uchiyama and Kohsaka, 2019; Deslauriers et al., 2018; Kohsaka and Okumura, 2014; Kohsaka et al., 2013; Elmqvist et al., 2013). As for the Philippines, it has already been part of discussions in the country's effort to conserve biodiversity and included as an indicator for the conservation targets and actions of the Philippines Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (PBSAP) 2015-2028 and specifically on the Negros Island Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NIBSAP) 2019-2028.

Supporting the government strategy, the Department of Education, guided by its core value "Makakalikasan," promotes environmental protection and conservation

through its involvement in the National Greening Program (DepEd Order No. 187 Series of 2018). It has two programs working collaboratively, the Gulayan sa Paaralan established under DepEd Memorandum No. 293 s. 2007 and School Inside a Garden (SIGA) launched through DECS Memo No. 77, s. 1995. Both programs not only aim to create nurseries for endemic trees but also strengthen environmental education that will conserve and protect the heavily challenged floral diversity via an inventory of plants and trees within the campus.

As a highly urbanized city, Bacolod City aims to achieve actions and targets related to CBI. However, data on multiple components of biodiversity have to be provided, including plant biodiversity data which is still absent in the city. Likewise, since the Department of Education warrants a comprehensive inventory of plants and trees in every school, this research which aims to assess and index the plant biodiversity and importance in Bacolod City National High School is proposed.

This study will provide more information regarding plant diversity within the campus and provide additional insight on the further benefits of native vegetation such as edible plants for humans, forage food for birds and urban wildlife.

Specifically, it aims to:

- (i) Assess the flora, conservation status and provide an inventory of the native and exotic species within BCNHS,
- (ii) Calculate the diversity index of BCNHS, and
- (iii) Contribute data as part of the urban biodiversity target under NIBSAP and PBSAP and City Biodiversity Index of Bacolod City.

Materials and Methods

Research Site

The study was conducted at Bacolod City National High School (BCNHS), a secondary school under the Department of Education located at Barangay Taculing, Bacolod City, Negros Occidental, Philippines. The campus grounds of BCNHS is one of the vegetated areas within Bacolod City.

Transect-Quadrat Sampling

In order to effectively index the plant diversity in Bacolod City National High School, the modified Transect-Quadrat Method was used to cover most of the campus. Seven transect lines were established across different areas within the school, all of which are vegetated. The length of the transect line depends on the available distance of the research site. Along the transect lines, quadrats were evenly plotted, each with a 10 meters interval.

There are three types of data that were measured for plant diversity. For the upper canopy diversity assessment, these include all species equal to or greater than 10 centimeters or the large woody and mature plant stands inside the 10m x 10m quadrant.

Species counts and the diameter at breast-height (DBH) were measured. For the understory diversity assessment, small trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of less than 10 cm were counted and measured. This includes poles, saplings, and shrubs within the 5m x 5m nested quadrat inside the 10m x 10m quadrat. Finally, we assessed ground cover diversity by identifying and estimating the percentage cover of grass and other ground cover species within the 1m x 1m nested quadrat.

Data Analysis

All gathered data in the field were tabulated and analyzed. Relative density (RDensity), relative dominance (RDominance) and relative frequency (RFrequency) values for each species were acquired to obtain their Importance Value (IV).

Endemism and conservation status of each species were determined to further support the ecological importance of the vegetation of the area. The conservation status of each species was based on DENR DAO 2017-11 or the Updated National List of Threatened Plants and their Categories and the IUCN Red List.

$$\text{Density} = \frac{\text{number of individuals}}{\text{area sampled}}$$

$$\text{Relative Density} = \frac{\text{density for a species}}{\text{total density for all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Frequency} = \frac{\text{number of plots in which species occur}}{\text{total number of plots sampled}}$$

$$\text{Relative Frequency} = \frac{\text{frequency value for a species}}{\text{total frequency for all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Dominance} = \frac{\text{basal area for a species}}{\text{areas sampled}}$$

$$\text{Relative Dominance} = \frac{\text{dominance for a species}}{\text{total dominance for a species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Importance Value} = \text{Relative Density} + \text{Relative Frequency} + \text{Relative Dominance}$$

Moreover, species richness was measured for the whole of BCNHS using the Shannon-Weiner Diversity Index. This gives an estimate of species richness and distribution within a certain area. The formula is as follows:

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

where H' is the species diversity index, s is the number of species, and p_i is the proportion of individuals of each species belonging to the i th species of the total number of individuals (Eyasu et al., 2020).

Plant Identification

All plants within the assessment sites were properly documented for proper identification. To identify plant species, spot characters and other noteworthy features were photographed and recorded. Consultation to taxonomists, relevant literatures, plant identification keys and online photograph databases (www.philippineplants.org) were done to further verify the correct identification up to the species level.

Results and Discussion

Floral Composition

On floral assessment, a total of fifty-one (51) vascular plant species belonging to twenty-nine (29) plant families were identified and recorded within the research sites of Bacolod City National High School. The Family Fabaceae was the most well-represented composing of five (5) on both genera and species, followed by Euphorbiaceae with four (4) genera and species, and Meliaceae & Asteraceae with three (3) genera and species.

On the genus level, the genera *Ficus* (Moraceae) and *Dracaena* (Asparagaceae) recorded the most species with two (2).

Of the forty-eight (48) identified species 58.3% of the recorded species were considered exotic or those species that are unnaturally introduced in the country, while the 41.7% were all classified as of native descent. Sixteen (16) of the exotic species were determined to as planted for ornamental purposes in which few of them (i.e. *Samanea saman*, *S. macrophylla*) were probably part of the nationwide reforestation and planting of exotic species as means for the recovery of logged-over dipterocarp forests during the 1960s to 1980s [12].

Two listed species, *Pterocarpus indicus* (narra) and *Adonidia merrillii* (niyog-niyog) were recorded within the site which are classified as Vulnerable under the DENR DAO 2017-11. Being the third largest family of flowering plants, the majority of species under Family Fabaceae has the capability to form networks and nodules on roots with nitrogen-fixing bacteria allowing them to alter restrictive conditions and adapt to a wide variety of ecological and climate requirements aiding their success [13]. Moreover, the genera *Ficus* and *Dracaena* were the most-numbered genera. *Ficus* species are considered keystone species as they are primary food sources for several mammals and bird species including fruit bats, hornbills, bulbuls and pigeons. With its diverse consumer, *Ficus spp.* are easily dispersed in which the majority of these species easily adapt to varied ecosystems. With this, several *Ficus spp.* are also considered as pioneering species supporting degraded and barren areas to recover. As for the *Dracaena spp.*, both were planted and cultivated within the area.

Table 1
Species richness per Transect and Conservation status per species

| Family | Species | Common Name | Site 1: Farm | Site 2: STE Bldg. | Site 3: BAC | Site 4: SPA Bldg. | Site 5: Field area | Site 6: Gr. 10 Bldg. | Site 7: Ems10 | Conservation status (DENR DAO 2017-11) | Distribution | Conservation status (IUCN Red List) |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <i>Aphelandra sp.</i> | - | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Vulnerable |
| Acanthaceae | <i>Asystasia gangetica</i> | - | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Anacardiaceae | <i>Mangifera indica</i> | Mangga | | ✓ | | | | | | | Exotic | Data Deficient |
| Annonaceae | <i>Monoon longifolium</i> | Indian Tree | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Apocynaceae | <i>Wrightia pubescens</i> | - | | | ✓ | | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| | <i>Typhonium trilobatum</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Araceae | <i>Alocasia macrorrhizos</i> | gabi | | | | | | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Cocos nucifera</i> | Niyog | | | | | | ✓ | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| Areaceae | <i>Adonidia merrillii</i> | niyog-niyog | | | | | ✓ | | | Vulnerable | Native | Vulnerable |
| Araliaceae | <i>Polyscias cumingiana</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Dracaena angustifolia</i> | Dracaena | | | | | | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Dracaena trifasciata</i> | Snake Plant | | | | | | | ✓ | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Asparagaceae | <i>Cordyline fruticosa</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Mikania cordata</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Chromolaena odorata</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Asteraceae | <i>Bidens pilosa</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Bignoniaceae | <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> | African tulip | | ✓ | | | | ✓ | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| Caricaceae | <i>Carica papaya</i> | Kapayas | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Data Deficient |
| Combretaceae | <i>Terminalia catappa</i> | Talisay | | | | ✓ | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Costaceae | <i>Hellenia speciosa</i> | Costos | | | | | | | | | Native | Least Concern |

Table 1
Species richness per Transect and Conservation status per species

| Family | Species | Common Name | Site 1: Farm | Site 2: STE Bldg. | Site 3: BAC | Site 4: SPA Bldg. | Site 5: Field area | Site 6: Gr. 10 Bldg. | Site 7: Ems10 | Conservation status (DENR DAO 2017-11) | Distribution | Conservation status (IUCN Red List) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ebenaceae | <i>Diospyros blancoi</i> | Kamagong | ✓ | | | | | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Melanolepis multiglandulosa</i> | Alim | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | Native | Least Concern |
| | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | Binunga | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Euphorbiaceae | <i>Acalypha indica</i> | - | | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> | - | | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Samanea saman</i> | Acacia | | | | | | | ✓ | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Delonix regia</i> | Fire tree | | | | | | | ✓ | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> | Ipil Ipil | | | ✓ | | | | | | Exotic | Conservation Dependent |
| | | Madre De Cacao | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| Fabaceae | <i>Gliricidia sepium</i> | Narra | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | | Native | Endangered |
| Heliconiaceae | <i>Pterocarpus indicus</i> | saging-saging | | ✓ | | | | | | Vulnerable | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Heliconia rostrata</i> | | | | | | | | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Gmelina arborea</i> | Gmelina | ✓ | | | | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| | <i>Premna odorata</i> | Alagaw | | | | | | ✓ | | | Exotic | Endangered |
| Lamiaceae | <i>Tectona grandis</i> | Teak | | | | ✓ | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Lythraceae | <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> | Banaba | | ✓ | | | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Malvaceae | <i>Ceiba pentandra</i> | kapok | | | ✓ | | | ✓ | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> | Mahogany | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | Exotic | Endangered |
| | <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | Neem Tree | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Least Concern |

Table 1
Species richness per Transect and Conservation status per species

| Family | Species | Common Name | Site 1: Farm | Site 2: STE Bldg. | Site 3: BAC | Site 4: SPA Bldg. | Site 5: Field area | Site 6: Gr. 10 Bldg. | Site 7: Ems10 | Conservation status (DENR DAO 2017-11) | Distribution | Conservation status (IUCN Red List) |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Meliaceae | <i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> | Santol | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | | | Native | Least Concern |
| | <i>Streblus asper</i> | - | | | | | | ✓ | | | Native | Least Concern |
| | <i>Ficus benjamina</i> | balete | | | | | | | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Moraceae | <i>Ficus septica</i> | Hawili | ✓ | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | | Native | Least Concern |
| Moringaceae | <i>Moringa oleifera</i> | Malunggay | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| Phytolaccaceae | <i>Rivina humilis</i> | - | | | | | ✓ | | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| Poaceae | <i>Bambusa sp.</i> | Bamboo | | | | | | | | | | Not Evaluated |
| Rubiaceae | <i>Nauclea sp.</i> | - | | | ✓ | | | | | | | Not Evaluated |
| Sapotaceae | <i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i> | Caimito | | ✓ | | | | | | | Exotic | Least Concern |
| | <i>Lycianthes biflora</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |
| Solanaceae | <i>Solanum torvum</i> | - | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | | | Exotic | Not Evaluated |
| | <i>Dendrocnide sp.</i> | - | | | | | | ✓ | | | | Not Evaluated |
| Urticaceae | <i>Pipturus arborescens</i> | - | | | | | | | | | Native | Not Evaluated |

Vegetation Structure

The area structure of the plants was assessed using relative frequency (RFrequency), density (RDensity), and dominance (RDominance) values (Aureo et al., 2020). The relative density values are the number of individuals per species. The relative frequency of plant species refers to the unit area of the sampling area as a whole. Finally, the basal area per species is referred to as relative dominance. The sum of these values was used to calculate the species importance value (SIV) for the entire sampling area. The SIV index was obtained for each species in order to measure and identify which species dominated the entire area in terms of density, occurrence, and basal area.^[14]

This study found that *C. nucifera* was the most dominant species at Bacolod City National High School with the highest score (15.46) in RDominance, followed by *Samanea saman* and *S. macrophylla*, while *M. multiglandulosa*, *Dracaena sp.*, *L. leucocephala*, *S. torvum*, and *T. catappa* had the lowest score (0.02). The variance of measures can have a significant impact on the average value. *C. nucifera*'s measure is mostly high since the recorded individuals were of mature sizes, resulting in a high average value. *S. macrophylla*, on the other hand, differs in measurement, with relatively small and large sizes, affecting its average value.

The relative density refers to the number of individuals per species. *S. macrophylla* had the highest score (34.60), followed by *M. multiglandulosa* (6.91) and *P. indicus*, while *S. saman*, *C. cainito*, *C. nucifera*, *D. regia*, *C. papaya*, *M. oleifera*, *A. indica*, *Nauclea sp.*, and *T. grandis* had the lowest score (0.63).

Relative frequency refers to how many times the species occur over the total number of quadrats. *S. macrophylla* had

highest score (14.29), followed by *M. multiglandulosa* and *P. indicus* (7.69), while *S. saman*, *C. cainito*, *D. regia*, *D. angustifolia*, *L. leucocephala*, *C. papaya*, *G. sepium*, *A. indica*, *C. nucifera*, *Nauclea sp.*, *P. odorata*, *L. biflora*, *S. torvum*, *T. grandis*, and *F. benjamina* had the lowest scores.

Mahogany had highest scores on both RDensity and RFrequency. This species is considered a prominent invasive plant species. The leaves of the mahogany tree are rarely grazed by animals. When they fall upon abscission, they make a thick mat on the floor, preventing seeds, including its own, from coming into touch with soil thus preventing seed germination [15]. Furthermore, it is likely that mahogany inhibits the growth of other plants by allelopathy [16]. Plantations of *S. Macrophylla* have a proclivity to outcompete nearby natural forest ecosystems, especially after disturbance [17]. These factors could negatively result in the vegetation of an area as recruits of other species can hardly germinate and penetrate affecting species succession, co-dominance and variety. Notably, the pioneering species, *M. multiglandulosa* also came next on both ecological factors since this species can naturally thrive and can gradually take over disturbed areas attracting birds and pollinators.

Amongst all species recorded in BCNHS, *S. macrophylla* had the highest SIV with 58.40, followed by *P. indicus* (18.05) and *C. nucifera* (17.85), while *M. oleifera* (1.85) had the least accumulated score. The results indicate that *S. macrophylla* significantly affects the vegetation and wildlife of BCNHS. *S. macrophylla* can dominate barren or once dominated areas through its allelopathic ability[18]. This is directly observed on Site 3: BAC wherein 64% of the total counts in the areas was *S. macrophylla*. Quadrats 3 and 4 of Site 3: BAC were dominated by *S. macrophylla*.

Table 2
Relative Density, Relative Dominance, Relative Frequency, and Importance Values per Species

| Family:Scientific Name | Relative Density | Relative Dominance | Relative Frequency | Species Importance Value |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Anacardiaceae | | | | |
| 1. <i>Mangifera indica</i> | 3.14 | 2.99 | 2.27 | 8.4 |
| Annonaceae | | | | |
| 2. <i>Monoon longifolium</i> | 4.4 | 0.2 | 6.82 | 11.43 |
| Arecaceae | | | | |
| 3. <i>Cocos nucifera</i> | 1.26 | 15.46 | 1.14 | 17.85 |
| 4. <i>Adonidia merrillii</i> | 3.77 | 4.01 | 3.41 | 11.19 |
| Apocynaceae | | | | |
| 5. <i>Wrightia pubescens</i> | 1.89 | 0.04 | 2.27 | 4.2 |
| Asparagaceae | | | | |
| 6. <i>Dracaena angustifolia</i> | 1.26 | 0.02 | 1.14 | 2.41 |
| Bignoniaceae | | | | |
| 7. <i>Spathodea campanulata</i> | 4.4 | 4.25 | 6.82 | 15.47 |
| Caricaceae | | | | |
| 8. <i>Carica papaya</i> | 0.63 | 0.18 | 1.14 | 1.95 |
| Combretaceae | | | | |
| 9. <i>Terminalia catappa</i> | 1.26 | 0.02 | 2.27 | 3.55 |
| Ebenaceae | | | | |
| 10. <i>Diospyros blancoi</i> | 1.89 | 0.0001 | 3.41 | 9.43 |
| Euphorbiaceae | | | | |
| 11. <i>Melanolepis multiglandulosa</i> | 6.92 | 0.02 | 7.69 | 14.89 |
| 12. <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> | 3.77 | 0.16 | 4.54 | 8.48 |

Table 2
Relative Density, Relative Dominance, Relative Frequency, and Importance Values per Species

| Family:Scientific Name | Relative Density | Relative Dominance | Relative Frequency | Species Importance Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Fabaceae | | | | |
| 12. <i>Samanea saman</i> | 0.63 | 11.45 | 1.14 | 13.28 |
| 13. <i>Delonix regia</i> | 0.63 | 6.97 | 1.14 | 8.74 |
| 14. <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> | 1.89 | 0.02 | 1.14 | 3.04 |
| 15. <i>Gliricidia sepium</i> | 1.26 | 5.85 | 1.14 | 8.24 |
| 16. <i>Pterocarpus indicus</i> | 5.66 | 4.44 | 7.69 | 18.05 |
| Lamiaceae | | | | |
| 17. <i>Gmelina arborea</i> | 4.40 | 2.15 | 5.68 | 12.23 |
| 18. <i>Premna microphylla</i> | 1.26 | 7.03 | 1.14 | 9.43 |
| 19. <i>Tectona grandis</i> | 0.63 | 1.37 | 1.14 | 3.14 |
| Lythraceae | | | | |
| 20. <i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> | 1.89 | 1.55 | 3.41 | 6.89 |
| Meliaceae | | | | |
| 21. <i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> | 34.60 | 8.99 | 14.29 | 58.40 |
| 22. <i>Azadirachta indica</i> | 0.63 | 1.82 | 1.14 | 3.58 |
| 23. <i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> | 1.26 | 4.15 | 2.27 | 7.68 |
| Moraceae | | | | |
| 24. <i>Ficus septica</i> | 4.40 | 0.06 | 4.55 | 9.01 |
| 25. <i>Streblus asper</i> | 1.26 | 0.08 | 2.27 | 3.61 |
| Moringaceae | | | | |
| 26. <i>Moringa oleifera</i> | 0.63 | 0.08 | 1.14 | 1.85 |
| Rubiaceae | | | | |

Table 2
Relative Density, Relative Dominance, Relative Frequency, and Importance Values per Species

| Family:Scientific Name | Relative Density | Relative Dominance | Relative Frequency | Species Importance Value |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 27. <i>Nauclea sp.</i> Sapotaceae | 0.63 | 0.35 | 1.14 | 2.12 |
| 28. <i>Chrysophyllum cainito</i> Solanaceae | 0.63 | 3.76 | 1.14 | 5.53 |
| 29. <i>Lycianthes biflora</i> Urticaceae | 1.89 | 0.02 | 2.27 | 4.18 |
| 30. <i>Dendrocnide sp.</i> | 1.89 | 0.14 | 2.27 | 4.3 |

This is also influenced by the planted and cultivated individuals. Positively, the native *P. indicus* came next due to its considerable basal area. A total of thirteen (13) seedlings were also recorded from the 8 mature individuals across the 7 sites. As for *M. oleifera*, individuals of this species were all cultivated and cannot naturally germinate since it is exotic.

For the ground cover diversity, a total of twenty-six (26) species belonging to eighteen (18) plant families were recorded from the 7 research sites. Of all the species identified, *R. humilis* obtained the highest overall percent cover with 18.4%, followed by *T. trilobatum* (16.0%), and *A. gangetica* (12.0%). *Rivina humilis* / Bloodberry can produce numerous flushes of seed per year, requiring expensive human labor to intervene with hand pulling procedures until the transition occurs. It becomes invasive due to its ability to outcompete native flora and become dominant.

Diversity Index

The Shannon-Weiner Diversity Index was used to calculate the species richness of the entire BCNHS Campus. This provides estimates of the number of species and their distribution within a given area. In species diversity, the Shannon-Weiner's diversity index (H') revealed that BCNHS has a 2.74 index indicating a MODERATE condition in terms of species diversity based on categories of species diversity index by Fernando.

Moderate diversity index values signify that 1-2 species have an appreciable level of dominance over other species. The study revealed that only *S. macrophylla* considerably dominates while other species are equally abundant in the area. In terms of species evenness, the site exhibited HIGH conditions on species evenness with 0.78

with 1.0 as the ideal value. This means a close to even count of individuals per species over the total number of individuals in the whole area. As for BCNHS, a higher index can be obtained if more native species are introduced and the population of exotic and invasive species (i.e. *S. macrophylla*) will be controlled.

Ecological Importance

This study also recorded the plant species that serve as possible food plants to diverse native wildlife. From the recorded species, a total of eight (8) native plants were observed and identified that can be food plants to urban birds and other wildlife. These species were *Ficus benjamina*, *Ficus septica*, *Macaranga tanarius*, *Melanolepis multiglandulosa*, *Premna odortata*, *Streblus asper*, *Sandoricum koetjape*, *Terminalia catappa*.

Conclusion

This study recorded a total of 51 vascular plant species Bacolod City National High School in Bacolod City, Negros Occidental. Among all the listed plants, the species *P. indicus* and *A. merrillii* were classified as Vulnerable under the DENR DAO 2017-11. A total of 41.7% were classified as native species. For the vegetation structure, *S. macrophylla* recorded the highest Species Importance Value (SIV) with 58.40. This means that Mahogany is the most dominant species on campus and significantly influences the vegetation and wildlife of BCNHS. For the species diversity index, BCNHS obtained an index value of 2.74 which signifies that the area is moderately diverse, and an evenness index of 0.78. The species *S. macrophylla* considerably dominates while other species are equally abundant in the area.

Recommendations

Based on the results, Bacolod City National High School has a moderately diverse diversity index. Hence, implementation of interventions such as the re-introduction of other native species and the establishment of native species nurseries is encouraged. Likewise, based on the results, the development of educational materials aligned to the biodiversity of schools is also recommended and distributed to learners so awareness is raised for conservation and sustainable management practices.

Aureo, W. A., Reyes, T. D., Mutia, F. C. U., Jose, R. P., & Sarnowski, M. B. (2020, December 29). *Diversity and composition of plant species in the forest over limestone of Rajah Sikatuna Protected Landscape, Bohol, Philippines*. Biodiversity Data Journal. <https://doi.org/10.3897/bdj.8.e55790>

Baguinon, N. T., Quimado, M. O., & Francisco, G. J. (2005). Country report on forest invasive species in the Philippines. The unwelcome guests.

Balding, M., & Williams, K. J. H. H. (2016). Plant blindness and the implications for plant conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 30(6), 1192–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12738>

Baluran JI, Morilla RB, Navarez ML, Pasandalan SF, Tanan V. (2019) Abundance of Mahogany (*Swietenia mahogany*) in each College of MSY-IIT and its Effect on Temperature and Humidity. researchgate. 2019 Mar [accessed 2023 May 25].

Buchholz, S., Gathof, A. K., Grossmann, A., Kowarik, I., & Fischer, L. K. (2020, April 1). Wild bees in urban grasslands: Urbanisation, functional diversity, and species traits. *Landscape and Urban Planning*; Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.103731>

Cerdà, A., Lucas-Borja, M. E., Franch-Pardo, I., Úbeda, X., Novara, A., López-Vicente, M., ... & Pulido, M. (2021). The role of plant species on runoff and soil erosion in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Science of The Total Environment*, 799, 149218.

Chan, L., Hillel, O., Elmqvist, T., Werner, P., Holman, N., Mader, A. and Calcaterra, E., 2014. User's Manual on the Singapore Index on Cities' Biodiversity (also known as the City Biodiversity Index). Singapore: National Parks Board, Singapore.

Chen, M., Zhou, Y., Hu, M., & Zhou, Y. (2020). Influence of urban scale and urban expansion on the urban heat island effect in metropolitan areas: Case study of Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei urban agglomeration. *Remote Sensing*, 12(21), 3491.

Coracero, Gallego, Lingon, Lolong, Lugayan, Montesines, Sangalang, & Suniega. (2022, February 14). Knowledge and Perspective of Students Towards Biodiversity and its Conservation and Protection. *Asian Journal of University Education*.

de Barros Ruas, R., Costa, L. M. S., & Bered, F. (2022). Urbanization driving changes in plant species and communities—A global view. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 38, e02243.

- DepEd Order No. 187 Series of 2018. deped. 2018 Nov 29 [accessed 2023 May 27]. https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/DM_s2018_187.pdf
- Deslauriers, M. R., Asgary, A., Nazarnia, N., & Jaeger, J. A. (2018). Implementing the connectivity of natural areas in cities as an indicator in the City Biodiversity Index (CBI). *Ecological Indicators*, 94, 99-113.
- DiEnno, C. M., & Thompson, J. L. (2013). For the love of the land: how emotions motivate volunteerism in ecological restoration. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 6, 63-72.
- Eyasu, G., Tolera, M., & Negash, M. (2020, December 1). *Woody species composition, structure, and diversity of homegarden agroforestry systems in southern Tigray, Northern Ethiopia*. Heliyon. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e0550>
- Fadhil, M., Hamoodi, M. N., & Ziboon, A. R. T. (2023). Mitigating urban heat island effects in urban environments: strategies and tools. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (Vol. 1129, No. 1, p. 012025). IOP Publishing.
- Faeth, S. H., Bang, C., and Saari, S. (2011). 'Urban biodiversity: Patterns and mechanisms'. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1223, 69–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05925.x
- Fernando, E. S. (1998). Resource inventory and assessment of biodiversity in the Subic Bay Metropolitan Authority (SBMA).
- Galano, & Rodriguez. (2021, April). *Exotic Mahogany Leaf Litter Hinders Growth of Philippine Native Tree Seedlings*. Retrieved April 14, 2024, from <https://accesson.kr/pnie/v.2/2/76/7965>
- Gopalan, R., & Radhakrishna, S. (2022). Cities and Biodiversity: Hidden connections between the built form and life. In *Blue-Green Infrastructure Across Asian Countries: Improving Urban Resilience and Sustainability* (pp. 141-162). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- Haider, N., Rothman-Ostrow, P., Osman, A. Y., Arruda, L. B., Macfarlane-Berry, L., Elton, L., Thomason, M. J., Yeboah-Manu, D., Ansumana, R., Kapata, N., Mboera, L. E. G., Rushton, J., McHugh, T. D., Heymann, D. L., Zumla, A., & Kock, R. (2020, November 26). COVID-19—Zoonosis or Emerging Infectious Disease? *Frontiers in Public Health*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.596944>
- Haruna, H., Aliko, Zakari, A. A., & Omeiza, A. H. (2018). Quantitative Analysis of Plant Species Diversity in Kano Zoological Garden . Retrieved April 14, 2024, from <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/bajopas/article/view/183041/172413>
- Kaplan, H., Prahalad, V., & Kendal, D. (2023). From Conservation to Connection: Exploring the Role of Nativeness in Shaping People's Relationships with *Urban Trees*. *Environmental Management*, 72(5), 1006-1018.
- Kohsaka, R., & Okumura, S. (2014). Greening the cities with biodiversity indicators: experience and challenges from Japanese cities with CBI. *Integrative Observations and Assessments*, 409-424.

- Kohsaka, R., Pereira, H. M., Elmqvist, T., Chan, L., Moreno-Peñaranda, R., Morimoto, Y., ... & Pearsell, G. (2013). Indicators for management of urban biodiversity and ecosystem services: city biodiversity index. *Urbanization, biodiversity and ecosystem services: challenges and opportunities: a global assessment*, 699-718.
- Kohsaka, R., Pereira, H. M., Elmqvist, T., Chan, L., Moreno-Peñaranda, R., Morimoto, Y., ... & Pearsell, G. (2013). Indicators for management of urban biodiversity and ecosystem services: city biodiversity index. *Urbanization, biodiversity and ecosystem services: challenges and opportunities: a global assessment*, 699-718.
- Kuaraksa, & Elliott. (2024, March). *The Use of Asian Ficus Species for Restoring Tropical Forest Ecosystems*. Retrieved April 14, 2024, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263182505_The_Use_of_Asian_Ficus_Species_for_Restoring_Tropical_Forest_Ecosystems
- Llait, C. O. (2024). Tree Species Composition and Diversity in a Secondary Forest along the Sierra Madre Mountain Range in Central Luzon, Philippines: Implications for the Conservation of Endemic, Native, and Threatened Plants. *Journal of Zoological and Botanical Gardens*, 5(1), 51-65.
- Mukherjee, M., Satheesh, A., & Kumar, A. (2022). StEMAIRF-BGI as a tool for UHI mitigation using land use planning and designing. In *Global Urban Heat Island Mitigation* (pp. 177-197). Elsevier.
- Nufus, M., Nufus, Y. A. B., & Sakya, A. T. (2020). *Vegetation analysis and tree species diversity in KHDTK Gunung Bromo, Karanganyar, Central Java*. Retrieved April 14, 2024, from <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/528/1/012010/pdf>
- Paz-Alberto, A. M., Serrano, S. C., Alberto, R. P., Ang, J. F. S., Juganas, D. A., Mapanao, K. M., & Hernando, P. J. C. (2017). IEC and Technology Transfer for Biodiversity Conservation and Utilization in Central Luzon. *Silliman Journal*, 58(2).
- Philippine Statistics Authority | Republic of the Philippines. Philippine Statistics Authority | Republic of the Philippines. 2021 Aug 23. <https://psa.gov.ph/population-and-housing/node/165018>
- Raji, I. A., & Downs, C. T. (2022, November 1). *Tree visitation and potential seed dispersal of keystone Ficus species by vertebrates in an urban mosaic landscape in eastern South Africa*. *Acta Oecologica*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2022.103865>
- Rashid, N., Alam, J. M., Chowdhury, M. A., & Islam, S. L. U. (2022). Impact of landuse change and urbanization on urban heat island effect in Narayanganj city, Bangladesh: A remote sensing-based estimation. *Environmental Challenges*, 8, 100571.
- Ravi, R. K., Anusree, S., Balachandar, M., & Muthukumar, T. (2020, December 1). Plastic responses that confer invasiveness to *Rivina humilis* L. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chnaes.2020.01.001>
- Robles, A. C. M. O., Gunay, G. S., Aljibe, M. C., & Oconer, E. P. (2015). Development of Authentic Information Education and Communication (IEC) Materials to Increase Public Awareness on Mt. Matutum Protected Landscape (MMPL) Conservation Initiatives in the Philippines. *IAMURE International Journal of Ecology and Conservation*, 13(1), 1-1.

- Rohr, J. R., Civitello, D. J., Halliday, F. W., Hudson, P. J., Lafferty, K. D., Wood, C. L., & Mordecai, E. A. (2019, December 9). Towards a common ground in the biodiversity–disease debate. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1060-6>
- Sarmiento, R. T. (2019). Seedling Recruitment of Indigenous Tree Species Within a *Swietenia macrophylla* King. Plantation, Mt. Makiling Forest Reserve, Philippines. *Research Journal of Life Sciences, Bioinformatics, Pharmaceutical and Chemical Science*, 5(2), 799-809.
- Sen, T., & Samanta, S. K. (2014, January 1). Medicinal Plants, Human Health and Biodiversity: A Broad Review. *Advances in Biochemical Engineering / Biotechnology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/10_2014_273
- Singh, N., Singh, S., & Mall, R. K. (2020). Urban ecology and human health: implications of urban heat island, air pollution and climate change nexus. In *Urban ecology* (pp. 317-334). Elsevier.
- Stagg, B. C., & Dillon, J. (2023). Plants, education and sustainability: rethinking the teaching of botany in school science. *Journal of Biological Education*, 57(5), 941-943.
- Stoltz, J., & Schaffer, C. (2018). Salutogenic affordances and sustainability: Multiple benefits with edible forest gardens in urban green spaces. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 343957.
- The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. (1964). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. <https://www.iucnredlist.org/>
- Uchiyama, Y., & Kohsaka, R. (2019). Application of the City Biodiversity Index to populated cities in Japan: Influence of the social and ecological characteristics on indicator-based management. *Ecological Indicators*, 106, 105420.
- Vallejo, V. R., Smanis, A., Chirino, E., Fuentes, D., Valdecantos, A., & Vilagrosa, A. (2012). Perspectives in dryland restoration: Approaches for climate change adaptation. *New Forests*, 43, 561–579.
- Wang, W., Wu, T., Li, Y., Xie, S., Han, B., Zheng, H., & Ouyang, Z. (2020). Urbanization impacts on natural habitat and ecosystem services in the guangdong-hong kong-macao “megacity”. *Sustainability*, 12(16), 6675.
- Wijaya, I. M. S., & Defiani, M. R. (2020, December 24). *Diversity and distribution of figs (Ficus: Moraceae) in Gianyar District, Bali, Indonesia*. *Biodiversitas*. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220129>
- Xu XinLiang, X. X., Cai HongYan, C. H., Qiao Zhi, Q. Z., Wang Liang, W. L., Jin Cui, J. C., Ge YaNing, G. Y., ... & Xu FengJiao, X. F. (2017). Impacts of park landscape structure on thermal environment using Quickbird and Landsat images.
- Zucaro, A., Maselli, G., & Ulgiati, S. (2022). Insights in urban resource management: a comprehensive understanding of unexplored patterns. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 3, 807735.